arXiv:1411.0240v2 [math.GR] 14 May 2015

PALINDROMIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE GROUPS

VALERIY G. BARDAKOV, KRISHNENDU GONGOPADHYAY, AND MAHENDER SINGH

Abstract. Let F_n be the free group of rank n with free basis $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. A palindrome is a word in $X^{\pm 1}$ that reads the same backwards as forwards. The palindromic automorphism group ΠA_n of F_n consists of those automorphisms that map each x_i to a palindrome. In this paper, we investigate linear representations of ΠA_n, and prove that ΠA_2 is linear. We obtain conjugacy classes of involutions in ΠA_2, and investigate residual nilpotency of ΠA_n and some of its subgroups. Let IA_n be the group of those automorphisms of F_n that act trivially on the abelianisation, PI_n be the palindromic Torelli group of F_n, and $E\Pi A_n$ be the elementary palindromic automorphism group of F_n. We prove that $PI_n = IA_n \cap E\Pi A'_n$. This result strengthens a recent result of Fullarton [3].

1. Introduction

Let F_n be the free group of rank n with free basis $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and let $Aut(F_n)$ be the automorphism group of F_n. A reduced word $w = x_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots x_n^{\epsilon_n}$ in $X^{\pm 1}$ is called a palindrome if it is equal to its reverse word $\overline{w} = x_n^{\epsilon_n} \dots x_1^{\epsilon_1}$. In [1], Collins defined the palindromic automorphism group ΠA_n as the subgroup of $Aut(F_n)$ consisting of those automorphisms that map each x_i to a palindrome. He proved that ΠA_n is finitely presented, and that it is generated by the following three types of automorphisms

$$t_i : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i^{-1} \\ x_k \longmapsto x_k \quad \text{for } k \neq i, \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_{i,i+1} : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1} \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i \\ x_k \longmapsto x_k \quad \text{for } k \neq i, \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{ij} : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_j x_i x_j \quad \text{for } i \neq j \\ x_k \longmapsto x_k \quad \text{for } k \neq i. \end{cases}$$

The group

$$E\Pi A_n = \langle \mu_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \rangle$$

is called the elementary palindromic automorphism group of F_n, and the group

$$ES_n = \langle t_i, \alpha_{j,j+1} \mid \ 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \rangle$$

is called the extended symmetric group. In [1], Collins showed that

$$\Pi A_n \cong E\Pi A_n \rtimes ES_n$$

for $n \geq 2$. Here, ES_n acts on $E\Pi A_n$ by conjugation given by the following rules

$$t_i \mu_{ij} t_i = \mu_{ij}^{-1}, \ t_j \mu_{ij} t_j = \mu_{ij}^{-1},$$

Date: May 15, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F28; Secondary 20E36, 20E05.

Key words and phrases. Free group; palindromic automorphism; representation; residual nilpotence, Torelli group.

$$t_k \mu_{ij} t_k = \mu_{ij} \text{ for } k \neq i, j,$$

$$\alpha \mu_{ij} \alpha = \mu_{\alpha(i)\alpha(j)} \text{ for } \alpha \in \{\alpha_{1,2}, \alpha_{2,3}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1,n}\}.$$

In [1], Collins also showed that a set of defining relations for $E\Pi A_n$ is

$$\mu_{ij}\mu_{kl} = \mu_{kl}\mu_{ij},$$

$$\mu_{ik}\mu_{jk} = \mu_{jk}\mu_{ik},$$

$$\mu_{ik}\mu_{jk}\mu_{ij} = \mu_{ij}\mu_{jk}\mu_{ik}^{-1}.$$

In the same paper, Collins conjectured that $E\Pi A_n$ is torsion free for each $n \geq 2$. Using geometric techniques, Glover and Jensen [5] proved this conjecture and also calculated the virtual cohomological dimension of ΠA_n. Using methods from logic theory, Piggott and Ruane [12] constructed Markov languages of normal forms for ΠA_n. The pure palindromic automorphism group is defined as

$$P\Pi A_n = \langle \mu_{ij}, t_k \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq n \rangle.$$

Fairly recently, Jensen, McCammond and Meier [6] computed the Euler characteristic of ΠA_n, $P\Pi A_n$ and $E\Pi A_n$. Nekritsuhin [10, 11] has computed the center of ΠA_n using combinatorics of words in F_n. The palindromic Torelli group PI_n is the subgroup of $E\Pi A_n$ consisting of those automorphisms that act trivially on the abelianization of F_n. The group PI_n is a free group analogue of the hyperelliptic Torelli group of the mapping class group of an oriented surface. In his PhD Thesis [4], Fullarton has obtained a normal generating set for PI_n by constructing a simplicial complex on which PI_n acts in a nice manner. In this paper, we investigate some fundamental group theoretic questions about ΠA_n generalising some results of Nekritsuhin and strengthening a result of Fullarton.

Throughout the paper, we use standard notation and convention. All functions are evaluated from left to right. If G is a group, then G' denotes the commutator subgroup of G, $Z(G)$ denotes the center of G, and $\gamma_n(G)$ denotes the nth term in the lower central series of G. Given two elements g and h in G, we denote the element $h^{-1}gh$ by g^h and the commutator $g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ by $[g, h]$.

First, in Section 2, we determine the center of ΠA_n. In [9], Nekritsuhin observed that there are five involutions in ΠA_2 that are not conjugate to each other. It is natural to ask for the precise number of conjugacy classes of involutions of ΠA_2. In Section 3, we show that any involution in ΠA_2 is conjugate to one of the five involutions in Nekritsuhin's list. In Section 4, we consider the generalization of Sanov representation that Nekritsuhin constructed in [9]. We show that the Nekritsuhin representation is faithful. A precise description of the image is also obtained. We also prove that ΠA_2 is linear. In Section 5, we prove that ΠA_n is not residually nilpotent for $n \geq 3$. Also, we construct a subgroup $\widetilde{U_n}$ of $E\Pi A_n$ that is residually nilpotent for $n = 3$. Finally, in Section 6, we prove that $PI_n = IA_n \cap E\Pi A_n'$. This result strengthens a recent result of Fullarton [3].

2. Center of ΠA_n

In this section, we compute the center of ΠA_n for all $n \geq 2$. In [10], Nekritsuhin computed the center of ΠA_2 using combinatorics of words in F_2. We generalised this result and computed the center of ΠA_n for $n \geq 3$. But, when this paper was being written, we came to know that Nekritsuhin has also proved this result in [11]. We present a proof here for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.1. *The center of ΠA_n is the cyclic group of order two generated by the automorphism*

$$t : x_i \mapsto x_i^{-1} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that t lies in $Z(\Pi A_n)$. Suppose that ϕ lies in $Z(\Pi A_n)$. We claim that $\phi = t^\epsilon$ for $\epsilon = 0$ or 1. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$ be fixed. Suppose that

$$(x_i)\phi = x_i^{m_{i_1}} u_{i_1} x_i^{m_{i_2}} u_{i_2} \dots x_i^{m_{i_k}} u_{i_k} x_i^{m_{i_{k+1}}},$$

where $m_{i_r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u_{i_r} \in F(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)$, the free group on $n-1$ generators. Since $(x_i)\phi$ is a palindrome, it follows that $m_{i_1} = m_{i_{k+1}}$, $u_{i_1} = \overline{u}_{i_k}$, $m_{i_2} = m_{i_k}$, $u_{i_2} = \overline{u}_{i_{k-1}}$, and so on.

Since ϕ is central, we have $\phi t_i = t_i \phi$. Hence

$$(x_i)t_i\phi = x_i^{-m_{i_{k+1}}} u_{i_k}^{-1} \dots u_{i_1}^{-1} x_i^{-m_{i_1}}$$

and

$$(x_i)\phi t_i = x_i^{-m_{i_1}} u_{i_1} x_i^{-m_{i_2}} \dots u_{i_k} x_i^{-m_{i_{k+1}}}$$

are equal. This implies that $u_{i_k}^{-1} = u_{i_1}$. But, since $u_{i_1} = \overline{u}_{i_k}$, we obtain that $u_{i_1} = 1$. Continuing this way, we get that $\phi(x_i) = x_i^{m_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Again, since ϕ is central, we have $\phi\alpha_{i,i+1} = \alpha_{i,i+1}\phi$. It is easy to see that

$$\phi\alpha_{i,i+1} : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{m_i} \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{m_{i+1}} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_{i,i+1}\phi : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{m_i}. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $m_i = m_{i+1}$ and hence $m_1 = m_2 = \cdots = m_n = m$, say. Further, since ϕ is a central automorphism, it follows from the short exact sequence (4.1) that projection of ϕ is an element of the center of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. This implies that $m = 1$ or -1 and hence $\phi = t^\epsilon$. This proves the theorem. □

As a consequence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *The center of $E\Pi A_n$ is trivial.*

3. Conjugacy classes of involutions in ΠA_2

It is well known that there are four conjugacy classes of involutions in $Aut(F_2)$. See for example [7, Section 4, Proposition 4.6]. It is interesting to find conjugacy classes of involutions in ΠA_2 since $\Pi A_2 \leq Aut(F_2)$. First, we investigate involutions in ΠA_2. For simplicity, we denote the elements t_1, t_2 and α_{12} of ΠA_2 by σ, δ and ρ, respectively. Let $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ and $E\Pi A_2 = \langle \mu_{12}, \mu_{21} \rangle$, where

$$\mu_{12} : \begin{cases} x \longmapsto yxy \\ y \longmapsto y \end{cases}, \quad \mu_{21} : \begin{cases} x \longmapsto x \\ y \longmapsto xyx. \end{cases}$$

We have five involutions in ES_2 given by the automorphisms $\sigma,\ \delta,\ \rho,\ \sigma\delta$ and $\sigma\delta\rho$, where

$$\sigma : \begin{cases} x \longmapsto x^{-1} \\ y \longmapsto y \end{cases}, \quad \delta : \begin{cases} x \longmapsto x \\ y \longmapsto y^{-1} \end{cases}, \quad \rho : \begin{cases} x \longmapsto y \\ y \longmapsto x. \end{cases}$$

We are interested in obtaining involutions in $\Pi A_2 = E\Pi A_2 \rtimes ES_2$. Note that $E\Pi A_2 = \langle \mu_{12}, \mu_{21} \rangle \cong F_2$. By a palindrome in $E\Pi A_2$, we mean a palindrome in the free generating set $\{\mu_{12}, \mu_{21}\}$ of $E\Pi A_2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\lambda \in \{\sigma, \delta\}$ and $f \in E\Pi A_2$. Then $f\lambda$ is an involution if and only if f is a palindrome in $E\Pi A_2$.*

Proof. Let $f = {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{a_k}{\mu_{21}}^{b_k}$ for some integers a_i, b_i. Then

$$(f\lambda)^2 = f\lambda f\lambda = ff^{\lambda} \in E\Pi A_2.$$

We know that

$${\mu_{12}}^{\sigma} = {\mu_{12}}^{-1},\ {\mu_{21}}^{\sigma} = {\mu_{21}}^{-1},$$
$${\mu_{12}}^{\delta} = {\mu_{12}}^{-1},\ {\mu_{21}}^{\delta} = {\mu_{21}}^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$ff^{\lambda} = {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{a_k}{\mu_{21}}^{b_k}\ {\mu_{12}}^{-a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{-b_1} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{-a_k}{\mu_{21}}^{-b_k}.$$

If f is a palindrome, then we have the following two cases.

(1) $b_k = 0$ and $a_k = a_1$, $b_{k-1} = b_1$, $a_{k-1} = a_2$ and so on. This implies that $ff^{\lambda} = 1$.
(2) $a_1 = 0$ and $b_k = b_1$, $a_k = a_2$ and so on. This again implies that $ff^{\lambda} = 1$.

Conversely, if $ff^{\lambda} = 1$, then we reduce to the above two cases and that implies that f is a palindrome. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f \in E\Pi A_2$. Then $f\sigma\delta$ is an involution if and only if $f = 1$.*

Proof. If $f\sigma\delta$ is an involution, then $f\sigma\delta f\sigma\delta = 1$. By Theorem 2.1, $\sigma\delta \in Z(\Pi A_2)$. It follows that $f = 1$. The converse is obvious. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\lambda \in \{\rho, \sigma\delta\rho\}$ and $f = {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{a_k}{\mu_{21}}^{b_k}$ be an element in $E\Pi A_2$. Then $f\lambda$ is an involution if and only if*

(3.1)

$$f = \begin{cases} {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{a_m}{\mu_{21}}^{b_m}{\mu_{12}}^{-b_m}{\mu_{21}}^{-b_m} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{-b_1}{\mu_{21}}^{-a_1} & \text{if } k = 2m \\ {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{a_m}{\mu_{21}}^{b_m}({\mu_{12}}^{a_{m+1}}{\mu_{21}}^{-a_{m+1}}){\mu_{12}}^{-b_m}{\mu_{21}}^{-a_m} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{-b_1}{\mu_{21}}^{-a_1} & \text{if } k = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by induction. We sketch the proof for $\lambda = \rho$. The other cases are similar. We have

$$(f\rho)^2 = ff^{\rho} = {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1} \dots {\mu_{12}}^{a_k}{\mu_{21}}^{b_k}\ {\mu_{21}}^{a_1}{\mu_{12}}^{b_1} \dots {\mu_{21}}^{a_k}{\mu_{12}}^{b_k}.$$

Since $(f\rho)^2 = 1$, we must have $a_l = -b_{k-l+1},\ b_l = -a_{k-l+1}$ for $l = 1, \dots, k$. Now it follows that f has the form given in the lemma according as $k = 2m$ or $k = 2m+1$. □

We consider the following problem.

Problem 1. *Is the conjugacy problem decidable in ΠA_n for $n \geq 3$.*

For $n = 2$, the answer is positive and is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $g, g' \in \Pi A_2$ be such that $g = f\lambda$ and $g' = f'\lambda'$, where $f, f' \in E\Pi A_2$ and $\lambda, \lambda' \in ES_2$. Then g and g' are conjugate in ΠA_2 if and only if*

(1) *$\lambda' = \lambda^{\mu}$ for some $\mu \in ES_2$.*
(2) *$(f')^{\mu^{-1}} = l^{-1} f\ l^{\lambda^{-1}}$ for some $l \in E\Pi A_2$.*

Proof. g and g' are conjugate if and only if there exists $h = l\mu$ in ΠA_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} f'\lambda' = h^{-1}gh &= (l\mu)^{-1}\ f\lambda\ l\mu \\ &= \mu^{-1}l^{-1}\ f\ l^{\lambda^{-1}}\lambda\mu \\ &= (\mu^{-1}l^{-1}\mu)(\mu^{-1}f\mu)\mu^{-1}\lambda l\lambda^{-1}\mu\mu^{-1}\lambda\mu \\ &= \big((l^{-1})^{\mu}f^{\mu}l^{\lambda^{-1}\mu}\big)(\lambda^{\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f' = (l^{-1})^{\mu}f^{\mu}l^{\lambda^{-1}}$ and $\lambda' = \mu^{-1}\lambda\mu$. This proves the lemma. □

In [10], Nekritsuhin proved the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *The following involutions are not conjugate in* ΠA_2

$$\sigma,\ \sigma\delta,\ \rho,\ \mu_{12}\sigma,\ \mu_{12}\delta = \rho(\mu_{21}\sigma)\rho.$$

Lemma 3.6. *There are three conjugacy classes of involutions in* ES_2 *represented by* $\sigma,\ \rho,\ \sigma\delta$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\rho\sigma\rho = \delta$ and $\sigma(\rho\sigma\delta)\sigma = \sigma\rho\delta = \rho(\rho\sigma\rho)\delta = \rho$. □

If $f\lambda$ and $f'\lambda'$ are two involutions that are conjugate in ΠA_2, then it follows from [9] that $\lambda = \lambda'$. With this, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $f\lambda$ *be an involution in* ΠA_2*, where* $f \in E\Pi A_2$ *and* $\lambda \in \{\sigma, \delta\}$*. Then* $f\lambda$ *is conjugate to one of the involutions* σ*,* $\mu_{12}\sigma$*,* $\mu_{21}\sigma$*.*

Note that, the conjugation rule in ΠA_2 is

$$\sigma^{\rho} = \delta,\ (\mu_{12}\sigma)^{\rho} = \mu_{12}\delta,\ (\mu_{21}\sigma)^{\rho} = \mu_{21}\delta.$$

Thus an equivalent formulation of the above lemma is the following.

Lemma 3.8. *Let* $f\lambda$ *be an involution in* ΠA_2*, where* $f \in E\Pi A_2$ *and* $\lambda \in \{\sigma, \delta\}$*. Then* $f\lambda$ *is conjugate to one of the involutions* δ*,* $\mu_{12}\delta$*,* $\mu_{21}\delta$*.*

Proof. Let $f\lambda$ and $g\lambda$ be conjugate involutions, where $\lambda \in \{\sigma, \delta\}$. We want to obtain a representative $(f\lambda)^h$ of the conjugacy class. Using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$f = {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1}\dots{\mu_{21}}^{b_1}{\mu_{12}}^{a_1}\lambda$$

and

$$h = {\mu_{12}}^{c_1}{\mu_{21}}^{d_1}\dots{\mu_{12}}^{c_k}{\mu_{21}}^{d_k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (f\lambda)^h = {\mu_{21}}^{-d_k}{\mu_{12}}^{-c_k}\dots{\mu_{21}}^{-d_1}{\mu_{12}}^{-c_1}\ {\mu_{12}}^{a_1}{\mu_{21}}^{b_1} \\ \dots{\mu_{21}}^{b_1}{\mu_{12}}^{a_1}\ {\mu_{12}}^{-c_1}{\mu_{21}}^{-d_1}\dots{\mu_{12}}^{-c_k}{\mu_{21}}^{-d_k}\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose h such that $c_1 = -a_1$, $d_1 = -b_1$, etc. This reduces the length of the word $(f\lambda)^h$ and after cancellation of the middle terms, we get a reduced word of the form

$${\mu_{12}}^{-l}{\mu_{12}}^{a}{\mu_{12}}^{-l} = {\mu_{12}}^{a-2l}$$

or

$${\mu_{21}}^{-l}{\mu_{21}}^{b}{\mu_{21}}^{-l} = {\mu_{21}}^{b-2l}.$$

Depending on whether a is even or odd, we can choose l such that $a - 2l = 1$ or 0, and we can do the same for $b - 2l$. Hence the conjugacy representatives would be the desired ones. □

Lemma 3.9. *Any involution of the form $f\rho$ where $f \in E\Pi A_2$ is conjugate to ρ by some element of $E\Pi A_2$.*

Proof. Take an involution of the form $f\rho$, where f is of the form (3.1). After writing down the expression for $(f\rho)^h$, as in the proof of Lemma 3.8, we can choose h such that $(f\rho)^h = \rho$. □

Combining the above lemmas, we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *There are five conjugacy classes of involutions in ΠA_2. These conjugacy classes are represented by*

$$\sigma,\ \sigma\delta,\ \rho,\ \mu_{12}\sigma,\ \mu_{21}\sigma.$$

4. Linear Representation of ΠA_n

In [9], Nekritsuhin studied the linear representation $\phi : \Pi A_2 \to \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$(\mu_{12})\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ (\mu_{21})\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(\sigma)\phi = I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ (\delta)\phi = I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ (\rho)\phi = I_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This representation comes from the natural homomorphism $Aut(F_n) \to \mathrm{GL(n,\mathbb{Z})}$. We prove the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *The representation ϕ is faithful.*

Proof. We know that

$$ES_2 = \{1, \sigma, \delta, \sigma\delta, \rho, \sigma\rho, \delta\rho, \sigma\delta\rho\}.$$

Consider the ϕ images of elements of ES_2. It is easy to see that

$$I_1I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ I_1I_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$I_2I_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ I_1I_2I_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\phi|_{ES_2}$ is faithful. Further, the representation $\phi|_{E\Pi A_2}$ is the classical Sanov representation that is well-known to be faithful [14].

Now, consider an arbitrary element $f\lambda \in \Pi A_2$, where $f \in E\Pi A_2$ and $\lambda \in ES_2$. Suppose that $(f\lambda)\phi = 1$. But then $(f)\phi = 1$ and $(\lambda)\phi = 1$. By the above two cases, $f = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$. Hence kernel of ϕ is trivial. This proves the result. □

We are unable to answer the following interesting problem.

Problem 2. *Is ΠA_n linear for $n \geq 3$?*

Given a matrix M in $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, we would like to understand the conditions under which M lies in the image of ϕ. The following result answers this question.

Proposition 4.2. *A matrix M in $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ lies in the image of ϕ if and only if it has one of the following eight forms*

$$\pm\begin{pmatrix}1+4a_{11} & 2a_{12}\\ 2a_{21} & 1+4a_{22}\end{pmatrix}, \pm\begin{pmatrix}1+4a_{11} & -2a_{12}\\ 2a_{21} & -1-4a_{22}\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\pm\begin{pmatrix}2a_{12} & -1-4a_{11}\\ 1+4a_{22} & -2a_{21}\end{pmatrix}, \pm\begin{pmatrix}2a_{12} & 1+4a_{11}\\ 1+4a_{22} & 2a_{21}\end{pmatrix},$$

for some integers a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21} and a_{22} satisfying $a_{11}+a_{22}-a_{12}a_{21}+4a_{11}a_{22}=0$.

Proof. It follows from [9] that if $M \in (\Pi A_2)\phi$, then every row of M has one even element and one odd element. One can prove by induction that, any matrix in $(E\Pi A_2)\phi$ has the form

$$M_o = \begin{pmatrix}1+4a_{11} & 2a_{12}\\ 2a_{21} & 1+4a_{22}\end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$$

for some integers a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21} and a_{22}. In particular, $(1+4a_{11})(1+4a_{22})-4a_{12}a_{21}=1$, that is,

$$a_{22}+a_{11}-a_{12}a_{21}+4a_{11}a_{22}=0.$$

An arbitrary matrix $M \in (\Pi A_2)\phi$ has the form $M = M_oS$ where

$$S \in (ES_2)\phi = \{I, I_1,\ I_2,\ I_1I_2,\ I_{12},\ I_1I_{12},\ I_2I_{12},\ I_1I_2I_{12}\}.$$

Here I denotes identity matrix. Now computing M_oS for each $S \in (ES_2)\phi$ gives the desired result. □

Recall that, the Sanov representation of free group $F_2 = \langle x, y\rangle$ is given by

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}1 & 2\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},\ y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 2 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

It is a natural problem whether this can be generalized to a representation for free group F_n of rank $n \geq 3$.

For $n \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $t_{ij}(a) \in \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix whose (i,j)th entry is a, all diagonal entries are one and all other entries are zero. Let

$$H_n^a = \langle t_{ij}(a) \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\rangle \leq \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that, if $a=2$, then H_n^2 is the image of $E\Pi A_n$ under the natural epimorphism from $Aut(F_n)$ to $\mathrm{GL(n,\mathbb{Z})}$.

Proposition 4.3. *The representation $\phi : E\Pi A_n \to H_n^2$ given by $\mu_{ij} \mapsto t_{ij}(a)$ is not faithful for all $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $n=3$. Observe that $\mu_{23}\mu_{21} \neq \mu_{21}\mu_{23}$ in $E\Pi A_3$. But $t_{23}(2)t_{21}(2) = t_{21}(2)t_{23}(2)$ in $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{Z})$. Hence ϕ is not faithful. □

The following problem seems interesting.

Problem 3. *Describe the kernel of the map ϕ.*

Let IA_n denote the group of those automorphisms of F_n that induce identity on the abelianization of F_n. Then we have the following short exact sequence

$$1 \to IA_n \to Aut(F_n) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}) \to 1. \tag{4.1}$$

As remarked in [1] (see also [5]), the image of ΠA_n in $\mathrm{GL(n,\mathbb{Z})}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL(n,\mathbb{Z})}$ consisting of invertible matrices where each column has exactly one odd entry and the rest are

even. The subgroup is the semidirect product $\Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes S_n$, where S_n is the symmetric group on n symbols and $\Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the level 2-congruence subgroup defined by the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{GL(n,\mathbb{Z})} \to \mathrm{GL(n,\mathbb{Z}/2)} \to 1.$$

It is well known (see for example [3]) that $\Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by transvections $t_{ij}(2)$ and the diagonal matrices d_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ which differ from the identity only in having -1 in the (i,i)th position.

It is easy to see that the matrix of the automorphism induced by μ_{ij} on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is $t_{ij}(2)$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Thus, $\psi\big(E\Pi A_n\big) = H_n^2$ for all $n \geq 2$. This can be thought of as a generalization of Sanov representation. The case $n = 2$ gives the standard Sanov representation.

Proposition 4.4. *$\psi|_{E\Pi A_2} : E\Pi A_2 \to H_2^2$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Sanov's theorem $H_2^2 = \langle t_{12}(2), t_{21}(2)\rangle \cong F_2$. Thus $\psi|_{E\Pi A_2}$ is an epimorphism onto F_2. But $E\Pi A_2 \cong F_2$ and F_2 being Hopfian implies that $\psi|_{E\Pi A_2}$ is an isomorphism. □

This yields another proof of Proposition 4.1.

5. Residual nilpotence of ΠA_n

In this section, we investigate the residual nilpotence of ΠA_n for $n \geq 2$. In [10], Nekritsuhin proved that ΠA_2 is residually nilpotent. We prove the following.

Proposition 5.1. *ΠA_n is not residually nilpotent for $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. By the result of Collins, we have $\Pi A_n = E\Pi A_n \rtimes ES_n$. In particular, ΠA_n contains the symmetric group S_3 for $n \geq 3$. But S_3 is not residually nilpotent, since $\gamma_1(S_3) = S_3$ and $\gamma_r(S_3) = A_3$ for $r \geq 2$. Hence ΠA_n is not residually nilpotent for $n \geq 3$. □

It is interesting to investigate residual nilpotence of $E\Pi A_n$ for $n \geq 3$. Let $t_{ij} = t_{ij}(2)$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$,

$$U_n = \langle t_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\rangle$$

and

$$L_n = \langle t_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n\rangle.$$

Then $U_n \cong L_n$ and $H_n = \langle U_n, L_n\rangle$. Let R be the set of defining relations of $E\Pi A_n$. Let $B_n = \{\mu_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ and $C_n = \{\mu_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n\}$. Using these, we define

$$\widetilde{U_n} = \langle B_n \mid\mid R\rangle \text{ and } \widetilde{L_n} = \langle C_n \mid\mid R\rangle.$$

Specializing to the case $n = 3$ gives

$$\widetilde{U_3} = \langle \mu_{12}, \mu_{13}, \mu_{23} \mid\mid [\mu_{13}, \mu_{23}] = 1, \mu_{13}\mu_{23}\mu_{12} = \mu_{12}\mu_{23}\mu_{13}^{-1}\rangle$$

and

$$\widetilde{L_3} = \langle \mu_{21}, \mu_{31}, \mu_{32} \mid\mid [\mu_{21}, \mu_{31}] = 1, \mu_{21}\mu_{31}\mu_{23} = \mu_{23}\mu_{31}\mu_{21}^{-1}\rangle.$$

Further observe that $\widetilde{U_3} \cong \widetilde{L_3}$ and $E\Pi A_3 = \langle \widetilde{U_3}, \widetilde{L_3}\rangle$.

Proposition 5.2. *$\widetilde{U_3}/\langle [\mu_{12}, \mu_{13}]\rangle \cong U_3$ and $\widetilde{L_3}/\langle [\mu_{31}, \mu_{32}]\rangle \cong L_3$.*

Proof. First note that, we have $[t_{13}, t_{23}] = 1$, $[t_{12}, t_{13}] = 1$ and $[t_{12}, t_{23}] = t_{13}^2$ in U_3. Also note that, $\mu_{13}\mu_{23}\mu_{12} = \mu_{12}\mu_{23}\mu_{13}^{-1}$ is equivalent to the relation $\mu_{13}[\mu_{13}, \mu_{12}]\mu_{13} = [\mu_{12}, \mu_{23}]$. It is now clear that the map ϕ induces the desired isomorphism $\widetilde{U_3}/\langle\langle[\mu_{12}, \mu_{13}]\rangle\rangle \cong U_3$. The other isomorphism can be proved analogously. □

Theorem 5.3. *$\widetilde{U_3}$ and $\widetilde{L_3}$ are residually nilpotent.*

Proof. Renaming the generators as $a = \mu_{13}\mu_{23}$, $b = \mu_{13}$ and $c = \mu_{12}$, we can write

$$\widetilde{U_3} = \langle a, b, c \mid\mid [a, b] = 1, c^{-1}ac = b^{-2}a\rangle.$$

Let $A = \langle a\rangle$ and $B = \langle b\rangle$. Then $\widetilde{U_3}$ is an HNN extension of $A \times B$ by c and relative to the isomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ given by $\phi(a) = b^{-2}a$. Let $M_0 = A \cap B$, $M_1 = \phi^{-1}(M_0) \cap M_0 \cap \phi(M_0)$ and inductively

$$M_{i+1} = \phi^{-1}(M_i) \cap M_i \cap \phi(M_i).$$

Since $M_0 = 1$, it follows that $H = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i = 1$ and hence $\phi(H) = H$. As A and B are proper torsion free subgroups of $A \times B$, a theorem of Raptis and Varsos [13, Theorem 11] implies that $\widetilde{U_3}$ is residually nilpotent. Similarly, $\widetilde{L_3}$ is residually nilpotent. □

We suspect that the following is true.

Conjecture 1. *$\widetilde{U_n}$ and $\widetilde{L_n}$ are residually nilpotent for $n \geq 3$.*

6. Structure of the Palindromic Torelli Group

In [1], Collins observed that the intersection $PI_n = IA_n \cap \Pi A_n$ is non-trivial for $n \geq 3$. For example, the commutator $[\mu_{12}, \mu_{13}]$ lies in this intersection. Recently, Fullarton proved the following interesting result.

Theorem 6.1. [3, Theorem A.] *The group $PI_n = IA_n \cap \Pi A_n$ is normally generated in ΠA_n by the automorphisms $[\mu_{12}, \mu_{13}]$ and $(\mu_{23}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{31}\mu_{32}\mu_{12}\mu_{21}^{-1})^2$.*

In [3, p.5], Fullarton also observed that $PI_n = IA_n \cap \Pi A'_n$ for each $n \geq 3$. In this section, we prove the following theorem which provides a precise description of the palindromic Torelli group.

Theorem 6.2. *$PI_n = IA_n \cap E\Pi A'_n$ for each $n \geq 3$.*

To prove this theorem, we first find generators of $E\Pi A'_3$, and then identify those generators which lie in IA_3.

Recall that $E\Pi A_3 = \langle A \mid\mid R\rangle$, where

$$A = \{\mu_{12}, \mu_{21}, \mu_{13}, \mu_{23}, \mu_{31}, \mu_{32}\}$$

and R contains the following six long relations

$$\mu_{12}\mu_{32}\mu_{13} = \mu_{13}\mu_{32}\mu_{12}^{-1},\ \mu_{21}\mu_{31}\mu_{23} = \mu_{23}\mu_{31}\mu_{21}^{-1},$$

$$\mu_{13}\mu_{23}\mu_{12} = \mu_{12}\mu_{23}\mu_{13}^{-1},\ \mu_{23}\mu_{13}\mu_{21} = \mu_{21}\mu_{13}\mu_{23}^{-1},$$

$$\mu_{31}\mu_{21}\mu_{32} = \mu_{32}\mu_{21}\mu_{31}^{-1},\ \mu_{32}\mu_{12}\mu_{31} = \mu_{31}\mu_{12}\mu_{32}^{-1},$$

and the following three relations of commutativity

$$[\mu_{31}, \mu_{21}] = [\mu_{32}, \mu_{12}] = [\mu_{23}, \mu_{13}] = 1.$$

Also, recall that $ES_3 = \langle t_1, t_2, t_3, \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23} \rangle$, and ES_3 action on ΠA_3 yield the following

$$t_1\mu_{12}t_1 = \mu_{12}^{-1},\ t_1\mu_{13}t_1 = \mu_{13}^{-1},\ t_1\mu_{21}t_1 = \mu_{21}^{-1},$$

$$t_1\mu_{23}t_1 = \mu_{23},\ t_1\mu_{32}t_1 = \mu_{32},\ t_1\mu_{31}t_1 = \mu_{31}^{-1},$$

$$\alpha_{12}\mu_{12}\alpha_{12} = \mu_{21},\ \alpha_{12}\mu_{21}\alpha_{12} = \mu_{12},\ \alpha_{12}\mu_{13}\alpha_{12} = \mu_{23}.$$

For $\mu_{ij} \in E\Pi A_3$, let $\widetilde{\mu_{ij}}$ denote its image in $E\Pi A_3/E\Pi A_3'$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} E\Pi A_3/E\Pi A_3' &= \langle \widetilde{\mu_{ij}} \mid\mid [\widetilde{\mu_{ij}}, \widetilde{\mu_{kl}}] = 1, \widetilde{\mu_{ij}}^2 = 1 \rangle \\ &\cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2}_{6\ copies}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E\Pi A_3'$ is a finitely presented group. Let

$$\Lambda_3 = \{\mu_{12}^{\epsilon_1}\mu_{21}^{\epsilon_2}\mu_{13}^{\epsilon_3}\mu_{23}^{\epsilon_4}\mu_{31}^{\epsilon_5}\mu_{32}^{\epsilon_6} \mid \epsilon_i = 0, 1\}$$

be a Schreier set of coset representatives of $E\Pi A_3'$ in $E\Pi A_3$. Consider the map

$$E\Pi A_3 \to \Lambda_3$$

mapping $w \in E\Pi A_3$ to its representative $\widetilde{w} \in \Lambda_3$. Then by [8, Theorem 2.7], $E\Pi A_3'$ is generated by the set

$$\{S_{\lambda,a} = (\lambda a)(\widetilde{\lambda a})^{-1} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_3 \text{ and } a \in A\}.$$

For $\lambda = \mu_{12}^{\epsilon_1}\mu_{21}^{\epsilon_2}\mu_{13}^{\epsilon_3}\mu_{23}^{\epsilon_4}\mu_{31}^{\epsilon_5}\mu_{32}^{\epsilon_6} \in \Lambda_3$, we set

$$|\lambda| = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_6.$$

Further, we define an order on the generators of $E\Pi A_3$ by the rule

$$\mu_{12} < \mu_{21} < \mu_{13} < \mu_{23} < \mu_{31} < \mu_{32}.$$

We use this ordering and induction on $|\lambda|$ to find the set of generators of $E\Pi A_3'$. Clearly, $S_{\lambda,a} = 1$ for $|\lambda| = 0$.

Proposition 6.3. *For $1 \leq |\lambda| \leq 6$, the generators of $E\Pi A_3'$ are given as follows:*

(1) *If $|\lambda| = 1$, then*

$$S_{\mu_{ij},\mu_{kl}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu_{ij} < \mu_{kl} \\ \mu_{ij}^2 & \text{if } \mu_{ij} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}] & \text{if } \mu_{kl} < \mu_{ij}. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $|\lambda| = 2$, then*

$$S_{\mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_2j_2},\mu_{kl}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} < \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{kl}^2)^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_2j_2} \\ \mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}] & \text{if } \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_1j_1}. \end{cases}$$

(3) *If* $|\lambda| = 3$, *then*

$$S_{\mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_2j_2}\mu_{i_3j_3},\mu_{kl}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{i_3j_3}^2)^{\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_3j_3} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_3j_3} \\ (\mu_{i_2j_2}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}})^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_2j_2} \\ \mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}] & \text{if } \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_1j_1}. \end{cases}$$

(4) *If* $|\lambda| = 4$, *then*

$$S_{\mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_2j_2}\mu_{i_3j_3}\mu_{i_4j_4},\mu_{kl}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu_{i_4j_4} < \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{i_4j_4}^2)^{\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_4j_4} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4j_4} \\ (\mu_{i_3j_3}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}})^{\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_3j_3} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_3j_3} \\ (\mu_{i_2j_2}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}})^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_2j_2} \\ \mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}] & \text{if } \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_1j_1}. \end{cases}$$

(5) *If* $|\lambda| = 5$, *then*

$$S_{\mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_2j_2}\mu_{i_3j_3}\mu_{i_4j_4}\mu_{i_5j_5},\mu_{kl}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu_{i_5j_5} < \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{i_5j_5}^2)^{\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_5j_5} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_4j_4} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_5j_5} \\ (\mu_{i_4j_4}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}})^{\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_4j_4} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4j_4} \\ (\mu_{i_3j_3}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}})^{\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_3j_3} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_3j_3} \\ (\mu_{i_2j_2}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}})^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_2j_2} \\ \mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{i_1j_1} = \mu_{kl} \\ [\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}] & \text{if } \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_1j_1}. \end{cases}$$

(6) *If* $|\lambda| = 6$, *then*

$$S_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}\mu_{13}\mu_{23}\mu_{31}\mu_{32},\mu_{kl}} = \begin{cases} (\mu_{32}^2)^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}\mu_{12}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{32} = \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{31}\mu_{31}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}})^{\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}\mu_{12}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{31} = \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{23}\mu_{23}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}})^{\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}\mu_{12}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{23} = \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{13}\mu_{13}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}})^{\mu_{21}^{-1}\mu_{12}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{13} = \mu_{kl} \\ (\mu_{21}\mu_{21}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}})^{\mu_{12}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{21} = \mu_{kl} \\ \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}} & \text{if } \mu_{21} = \mu_{kl}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of $S_{\lambda,a}$ and are omitted. □

Thus, we have found a generating set for the commutator subgroup $E\Pi A_3'$. Next, we identify generators of $E\Pi A_3'$ which lie in IA_3. For this, we introduce some functions as follows.

If $u \in F_n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, then we define

$$l_j(u) = \text{sum of powers with which the generator } x_j \text{ appears in } u.$$

For example, if $u = x_3^2 x_1^{-2} x_3^5 x_1^2$, then

$$l_1(u) = 0,\ l_2(u) = 0,\ l_3(u) = 7.$$

We can see that for each $u, v \in F_n$ and integer k the following formulas hold

$$l_j(uv) = l_j(u) + l_j(v),\ l_j(u^k) = k l_j(u).$$

For $\varphi \in Aut(F_n)$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we define

$$L_i^j(\varphi) = l_j\big((x_i)\varphi\big).$$

It is not difficult to prove the following result.

Lemma 6.4.
(1) *If $\varphi \in IA_n$, then $L_i^i(\varphi) = 1$ and $L_i^j(\varphi) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.*
(2) *If $\varphi \in E\Pi A_n$, then $L_i^i(\varphi) \equiv 1\ (mod\ 2)$ and $L_i^j(\varphi) \equiv 0\ (mod\ 2)$ for $i \neq j$.*

Proof. (1) First note that, if $u \in F_n'$, then $l_i(u) = 0$ for each i. By definition, φ is an IA-automorphism of F_n if and only if $(x_i)\varphi = x_i u_i$ for some $u_i \in F_n'$ and for each generator x_i. Therefore, if $\varphi \in IA_n$, then

$$L_i^i(\varphi) = l_i\big((x_i)\varphi\big) = l_i(x_i u_i) = l_i(x_i) + l_i(u_i) = 1$$

and

$$L_i^j(\varphi) = l_j\big((x_i)\varphi\big) = l_j(x_i u_i) = l_j(x_i) + l_j(u_i) = 0$$

for $i \neq j$.

(2) By definition, $\varphi \in E\Pi A_n$ if and only if $(x_i)\varphi = u_i x_i \overline{u_i}$ for some $u_i \in F_n$ and for each generator x_i. Here $\overline{u_i}$ is the reverse of u_i. Therefore, if $\varphi \in E\Pi A_n$, then

$$L_i^i(\varphi) = l_i\big((x_i)\varphi\big) = l_i(u_i x_i \overline{u_i}) = l_i(x_i) + 2l_i(u_i) \equiv 1\ (mod\ 2)$$

and

$$L_i^j(\varphi) = l_j\big((x_i)\varphi\big) = l_j(u_i x_i \overline{u_i}) = 2l_j(u_i) \equiv 0\ (mod\ 2)$$

for $i \neq j$. This proves the lemma. □

The following observation will be used quite often.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\phi \in E\Pi A_3'$. Then $\phi \in IA_3$ if and only if $\beta^{-1}\phi\beta \in IA_3$ for all $\beta \in \Pi A_3$.*

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that IA_3 is a normal subgroup of $Aut(F_3)$. □

Recall that, $t_{ij}(2)$ is the matrix of the automorphism induced by μ_{ij} on the abelianisation of F_3 with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^3$. For an automorphism $\phi \in E\Pi A_3'$, let t_ϕ denote the product of matrices corresponding to the generators appearing in ϕ. Further, let

$$L_1(\phi) = \big(L_1^1(\phi)\ L_1^2(\phi)\ L_1^3(\phi)\big),$$
$$L_2(\phi) = \big(L_2^1(\phi)\ L_2^2(\phi)\ L_2^3(\phi)\big),$$
$$L_3(\phi) = \big(L_3^1(\phi)\ L_3^2(\phi)\ L_3^3(\phi)\big).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$L_1(\phi) = \big(1\ 0\ 0\big)\, t_\phi,$$
$$L_2(\phi) = \big(0\ 1\ 0\big)\, t_\phi,$$

$$L_3(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t_\phi.$$

We use this observation and the above lemmas to identify generators of $E\Pi A_3'$ which lie in IA_3. First of all, note that, conjugates of all the generators of $E\Pi A_3'$ corresponding to the cases $|\lambda| = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$ (except $\mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$ corresponding to $|\lambda| = 6$) appear as generators corresponding to the case $|\lambda| = 5$. Further, direct computations yield that $L_2^1\big(\mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}\big) \neq 0$ and hence this generator does not lie in IA_3. This together with Lemma 6.5 implies that it is enough to find generators corresponding to the case $|\lambda| = 5$ which lie in IA_3.

Next, we prove the following lemma, which is of independent interest, and will be used in the proof of Theorem 6.2.

Proposition 6.6. *A generator $S_{\lambda,a}$ of $E\Pi A_3'$ lies in IA_3 if and only if it is one of the following types:*

(1) $[\mu_{13}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$.
(2) $[\mu_{23}^{-1}, \mu_{21}^{-1}]^{a^{-1}}$, *where* $a \in \{1, \mu_{12}\}$.
(3) $[\mu_{32}^{-1}, \mu_{31}^{-1}]^{b^{-1}}$, *where* $b \in \{\mu_{12}^{\epsilon_1}\mu_{21}^{\epsilon_2}\mu_{13}^{\epsilon_3}\mu_{23}^{\epsilon_4} \mid \epsilon_i = 0, 1\}$.

Proof. Let $S_{\lambda,a}$ denote a generator corresponding to the case $|\lambda| = 5$. In view of Lemma 6.5, we can take $S_{\lambda,a}$ to be without any conjugation.

(A) $\mu_{i_5j_5} = \mu_{kl}$**.** In this case, $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{ij}^2$ and $L_i^j(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$. Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(B) $\mu_{i_4j_4} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_5j_5}$**.** For simplicity, take $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]$, where $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{ij}$. We have the following two cases depending on the cardinality of the set $\{i, j, k, l\}$.

(1) If $|\{i, j, k, l\}| = 2$, then we have the following two subcases:
   (a) If $i = k$ and $j = l$, then $[\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{ij}^{-1}] = 1$.
   (b) If $i = l$ and $j = k$, then $L_j^i([\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{ji}^{-1}]) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, this automorphism is not an IA-automorphism.
(2) If $|\{i, j, k, l\}| = 3$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $i = l$, then $L_k^j([\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{ki}^{-1}]) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $j = k$, then $L_i^l([\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{jl}^{-1}]) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, the above automorphisms are not IA-automorphisms.
   (c) If $j = l$, then $[\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{kj}^{-1}] = 1$.
   (d) If $i = k$, then $[\mu_{ij}^{-1}, \mu_{il}^{-1}]$ sends x_i to $x_j x_l x_j^{-1} x_l^{-1} x_i x_l^{-1} x_j^{-1} x_l x_j$ and fixes all other generators. Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is an IA-automorphism.

(C) $\mu_{i_4j_4} = \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_5j_5}$**.** For simplicity, take $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{ij}\mu_{kl}\mu_{ij}\mu_{kl}^{-1}$. We have the following two cases depending on the cardinality of the set $\{i, j, k, l\}$.

(1) If $|\{i, j, k, l\}| = 2$, then $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{ij}\mu_{ji}\mu_{ij}\mu_{ji}^{-1}$ and $L_i^i(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$. Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.
(2) If $|\{i, j, k, l\}| = 3$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{ij} < \mu_{ik}$, then $L_i^j(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $\mu_{ij} < \mu_{ki}$, then $L_i^j(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{ij} < \mu_{jl}$, then $L_i^j(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (d) If $\mu_{ij} < \mu_{kj}$, then $L_i^j(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(D) $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4j_4}$. Take $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]$. Clearly, $|\{i_4, j_4, i_5, j_5, k, l\}| = 3$. We have the following cases:

(1) If $k = i_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{kj_4} < \mu_{lk}$, then $L_k^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   (b) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{kj_4} < \mu_{lj_4}$, then $L_{j_1}^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{kj_4} < \mu_{j_4k}$, then $L_l^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism.
   (d) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{kj_4} < \mu_{j_4l}$, then $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{kj_4}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]$. Therefore, by (B)(2)(d), $S_{\lambda,a}$ is an IA-automorphism.

(2) If $k = j_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4k} < \mu_{i_4l}$, then $L_l^{i_1}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4k} < \mu_{ki_4}$, then $L_l^{i_1}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4k} < \mu_{li_4}$, then $L_k^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   (d) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4k} < \mu_{lk}$, then $L_k^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(3) If $l = i_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lj_4} < \mu_{lk}$, then $L_l^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lj_4} < \mu_{kj_4}$, then $L_{j_1}^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lj_4} < \mu_{j_4k}$, then $L_{j_1}^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (d) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lj_4} < \mu_{j_4l}$, then $L_{j_1}^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(4) If $l = j_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4l} < \mu_{i_4k}$, then $L_l^i(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4l} < \mu_{li_4}$, then $L_i^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4l} < \mu_{lk}$, then $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{lk}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_4l}^{-1}}$. By (B)(1), $[\mu_{lk}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]$ is not an IA-automorphism.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.
   (d) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_4l} < \mu_{ki_4}$, then $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{ki_1}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]^{\mu_{i_1l}^{-1}}$. By (B)(2)(d), $[\mu_{ki_1}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]$ is an IA-automorphism.

(5) If $k = j_4$ and $l = i_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lk} < \mu_{kj_5}$, then $L_l^l(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   (b) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lk} < \mu_{lj_5}$, then $L_{j_5}^k(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lk} < \mu_{i_5k}$, then $L_k^{i_5}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (d) If $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{lk} < \mu_{i_5l}$, then $L_l^l(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(E) $\mu_{i_3j_3} = \mu_{kl}$. Take $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{i_3j_3}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}}$. Clearly, $|\{i_3, j_3, i_4, j_4, i_5, j_5\}| = 3$. We have the following cases:

(1) If $i_3 = i_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_3j_4} < \mu_{j_3i_3}$, then $L_{i_3}^{i_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   (b) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_3j_4} < \mu_{j_3j_4}$, then $L_{j_3}^{i_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_3j_4} < \mu_{j_4i_3}$, then $L_{j_3}^{i_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (d) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_3j_4} < \mu_{j_4j_3}$, then $L_{j_3}^{i_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(2) If $i_3 = j_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4i_3} < \mu_{i_3i_4}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4i_3} < \mu_{i_4j_3}$, then $\phi = \mu_{i_3j_3}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{\mu^{-1}_{i_4i_3}}$. By (C), $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.
   (c) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4i_3} < \mu_{j_3i_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (d) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4i_3} < \mu_{j_3i_4}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(3) If $j_3 = i_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3j_4} < \mu_{i_3j_4}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3j_4} < \mu_{j_3i_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{i_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   (c) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3j_4} < \mu_{j_4i_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (d) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3j_4} < \mu_{j_4j_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(4) If $j_3 = j_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4j_3} < \mu_{i_3i_4}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (b) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4j_3} < \mu_{i_4i_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4j_3} < \mu_{j_3i_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{i_3}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
   (d) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{i_4j_3} < \mu_{j_3i_4}$, then $L^{i_3}_{i_4}(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(5) If $i_3 = j_4$ and $j_3 = i_4$, then we have the following four subcases:
   (a) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3i_3} < \mu_{i_3j_5}$, then $L^{i_3}_{i_3}(\phi) \neq 1$.
   (b) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3i_3} < \mu_{j_3j_5}$, then $L^{i_3}_{j_5}(\phi) \neq 0$.
   (c) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3i_3} < \mu_{i_5i_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{i_3}(\phi) \neq 1$.
   (d) If $\mu_{i_3j_3} < \mu_{j_3i_3} < \mu_{i_5j_3}$, then $L^{i_3}_{i_3}(\phi) \neq 1$.
   Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(F) $\mu_{i_2j_2} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_3j_3}$**.** Take $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{i_5j_5}\mu^{-1}_{i_4j_4}\mu^{-1}_{i_3j_3}, \mu^{-1}_{kl}]$. There are a total of 15 cases. When $j_5 = l$, using the defining relations in $E\Pi A_n$, we see that $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{i_4j_4}\mu^{-1}_{i_3j_3}, \mu^{-1}_{kl}]$. By (D), we see that none of them is an IA-automorphism. Now suppose that $j_5 \neq l$. Then we have the following eight cases.

(1) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{23}\mu^{-1}_{13}\mu^{-1}_{21}, \mu^{-1}_{12}]$, then $L^2_2(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(2) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{31}\mu^{-1}_{23}\mu^{-1}_{21}, \mu^{-1}_{12}]$, then $L^2_2(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(3) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{31}\mu^{-1}_{13}\mu^{-1}_{21}, \mu^{-1}_{12}]$, then $L^2_3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(4) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{31}\mu^{-1}_{23}\mu^{-1}_{13}, \mu^{-1}_{12}]$, then $L^3_3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(5) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{32}\mu^{-1}_{31}\mu^{-1}_{13}, \mu^{-1}_{21}]$, then $L^1_3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(6) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{32}\mu^{-1}_{23}\mu^{-1}_{13}, \mu^{-1}_{21}]$, then $L^1_2(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(7) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{32}\mu^{-1}_{31}\mu^{-1}_{23}, \mu^{-1}_{21}]$, then $L^1_2(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(8) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu^{-1}_{32}\mu^{-1}_{31}\mu^{-1}_{23}, \mu^{-1}_{13}]$, then $L^3_3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA-automorphism.

(G) $\mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{kl}$**.** Take $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{i_2j_2}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{\mu^{-1}_{i_5j_5}\mu^{-1}_{i_4j_4}\mu^{-1}_{i_3j_3}}$. We have the following cases.

(1) If $\mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{12}$, then we have the following ten subcases:
   (a) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu^{-1}_{23}\mu^{-1}_{13}\mu^{-1}_{21}}$, then $L^1_1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.

(b) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$, then $L_1^1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(c) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(d) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(e) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism.
(f) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$.
(g) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$.
(h) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$.
(i) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$.
(j) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}}$.
In the above cases, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism by (E).

(2) If $\mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{21}$, then we have the following four subcases:
(a) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{21}\mu_{21}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(b) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{21}\mu_{21}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$, then $L_1^2(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(c) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{21}\mu_{21}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}}$, then $L_1^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism.
(d) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{21}\mu_{21}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}} = \mu_{21}\mu_{21}^{\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$, then $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism by (E).

(3) If $\mu_{i_2j_2} = \mu_{13}$, then $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{13}\mu_{13}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}}$ and $L_1^1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$. Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism.

(H) $\mu_{i_1j_1} < \mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_2j_2}$**.** Take $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}, \mu_{kl}^{-1}]$. We have the following six cases:

(1) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}, \mu_{21}^{-1}]$, then $L_1^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(2) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(3) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(4) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$, then $L_2^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(5) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$, then $L_2^2(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(6) If $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism.

(I) $\mu_{i_1j_1} = \mu_{kl}$**.** In this case, $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{i_1j_1}\mu_{i_1j_1}^{\mu_{i_5j_5}^{-1}\mu_{i_4j_4}^{-1}\mu_{i_3j_3}^{-1}\mu_{i_2j_2}^{-1}}$. We have the following six cases:

(1) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$, then $L_3^1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(2) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$, then $L_1^1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(3) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$, then $L_1^1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(4) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}}$, then $L_1^1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
(5) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{12}\mu_{12}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$, then $L_3^2(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 0$.
(6) If $S_{\lambda,a} = \mu_{21}\mu_{21}^{\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}}$, then $L_3^3(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$.
Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism.

(J) $\mu_{kl} < \mu_{i_1 j_1}$**.** In this case, $S_{\lambda,a} = [\mu_{32}^{-1}\mu_{31}^{-1}\mu_{23}^{-1}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{21}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$ and $L_1^1(S_{\lambda,a}) \neq 1$. Therefore, $S_{\lambda,a}$ is not an IA automorphism.

Hence, the only generators $S_{\lambda,a}$ that lie in IA_3 are $[\mu_{13}^{-1}, \mu_{12}^{-1}]$, $[\mu_{23}^{-1}, \mu_{21}^{-1}]^{a^{-1}}$ for $a \in \{1, \mu_{12}\}$ and $[\mu_{32}^{-1}, \mu_{31}^{-1}]^{b^{-1}}$ for $b \in \{\mu_{12}^{\epsilon_1}\mu_{21}^{\epsilon_2}\mu_{13}^{\epsilon_3}\mu_{23}^{\epsilon_4} \mid \epsilon_i = 0, 1\}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

We now prove the main theorem of this section.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Let $n \geq 3$. Clearly, $IA_n \cap E\Pi A_n' \subset IA_n \cap \Pi A_n = PI_n$. In [3], Fullarton showed that PI_n is normally generated in ΠA_n by the automorphisms $[\mu_{12}, \mu_{13}]$ and $\mu = \left(\mu_{23}\mu_{13}^{-1}\mu_{31}\mu_{32}\mu_{12}\mu_{21}^{-1}\right)^2$. It is easy to see that

$$\mu = S^{-1}_{\mu_{13}\mu_{23},\mu_{13}} S_{\mu_{13}\mu_{23}\mu_{31}\mu_{32},\mu_{12}} S^{-1}_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}\mu_{13}\mu_{23}\mu_{31}\mu_{32},\mu_{21}} S_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}\mu_{13}\mu_{23}\mu_{31}\mu_{32},\mu_{23}}$$
$$S^{-1}_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}\mu_{31}\mu_{32},\mu_{13}} S_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}\mu_{31}\mu_{32},\mu_{31}} S_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21}\mu_{32},\mu_{32}} S_{\mu_{12}\mu_{21},\mu_{12}}.$$

It follows that $[\mu_{12}, \mu_{13}]$ and μ lie in $E\Pi A_3'$ and hence they also lie in $IA_n \cap E\Pi A_n'$. Note that, IA_n is a normal subgroup of $Aut(F_n)$. Since $E\Pi A_n'$ is a characteristic subgroup of $E\Pi A_n$ and $E\Pi A_n$ is a normal subgroup of ΠA_n, it follows that $E\Pi A_n'$ is a normal subgroup of ΠA_n. Consequently, $[\mu_{12}, \mu_{13}]^\sigma$ and μ^σ lie in $IA_n \cap E\Pi A_n'$ for all $\sigma \in \Pi A_n$. Hence $IA_n \cap \Pi A_n \subset IA_n \cap E\Pi A_n'$. This proves the theorem. □

We conclude with the following questions, answers to which, will shed more light on the structure of the palindromic automorphism group ΠA_n of F_n.

Problem 4. *Is it possible to find defining relations for* $E\Pi A_n'$*?*

Problem 5. *Is it possible to find a decomposition of* $E\Pi A_n$ *as a semi-direct product, a free product or an HNN extension of some groups?*

Problem 6. *In view of Fullarton* [4]*, is there any geometrical or topological interpretation of Theorem 6.2?*

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for comments and suggestions which improved the paper. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from the DST-RFBR project DST/INT/RFBR/P-137 and RFBR-13-01-92697. Bardakov is partially supported by Laboratory of Quantum Topology of Chelyabinsk State University via Russian Federation government grant 14.Z50.31.0020. Gongopadhyay is partially supported by NBHM grant NBHM/R. P.7/2013/Fresh/992. Singh is also supported by DST INSPIRE Scheme IFA-11MA-01/2011 and DST SERC Fast Track Scheme SR/FTP/MS-027/2010.

References

[1] D. Collins, *Palindromic automorphism of free groups*, Combinatorial and geometric group theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 204, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1995, 63–72.
[2] E. Formanek and C. Procesi, *The automorphism group of a free group is not linear*, J. Algebra 149 (1992), 494–499.
[3] N. J. Fullarton, *A generating set for the palindromic Torelli group*, arXiv:1406.5460v1.
[4] N. J. Fullarton, *Palindromic automorphisms of free groups and rigidity of automorphism groups of right-angled Artin groups*, PhD thesis, University of Glasgow, 2014.
[5] H. H. Glover and C. A. Jensen, *Geometry for palindromic automorphism groups of free groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 75 (2000), 644–667.

[6] C. Jensen, J. McCammond and J. Meier, *The Euler characteristic of the Whitehead automorphism group of a free product*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 2577–2595.
[7] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Reprint of the 1977 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. xiv+339 pp.
[8] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1996.
[9] A. I. Nekritsuhin, *Palindromic automorphisms of a free group*, Chebyshevskii Sb. 9 (2008), 148–152 (Russian).
[10] A. I. Nekritsuhin, *Nilpotent approximability of the palindromic automorphism group of a free group*, Chebyshevskii Sb. 11 (2010), 199–201 (Russian).
[11] A. I. Nekritsuhin, *On some properties palindromes of automorphisms of a free group*, Chebyshevskii Sb., 15, no. 1 (2014), 141–145 (Russian).
[12] A. Piggott and K. Ruane, *Norml forms for automorphisms of universal coxeter groups and palindromic automorphisms of free groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 20 (2010), 1063–1086.
[13] E. Raptis and D. Varsos, *The residual nilpotence of HNN-extensions with base group a finite or a f.g. abelian group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 76 (1991), 167–178.
[14] I. N. Sanov, *A property of a representation of a free group*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 57 (1947), 657–659 (Russian).

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

Laboratory of Quantum Topology, Chelyabinsk State University, Brat'ev Kashirinykh street 129, Chelyabinsk 454001, Russia.
E-mail address: bardakov@math.nsc.ru

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Sector 81, S. A. S. Nagar, P. O. Manauli, Punjab 140306, India.
E-mail address: krishnendu@iisermohali.ac.in

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Sector 81, S. A. S. Nagar, P. O. Manauli, Punjab 140306, India.
E-mail address: mahender@iisermohali.ac.in